## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/573,656	SHINODA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CALLIE E. SHOSHO	1787	

	CALLIE E. SHOSHO	1787		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess	
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid aban ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tending amount of the corresponding amount of t	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complexity.</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);		
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.		
NOTE: see attachment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4	1.33(a)).			
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allonger non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	t canceling the	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	planation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-16 and 32</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1 6 4 1 6 6 CT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	/ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but .	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/Callie E. Shosho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1787				